#### DIEGO R. SARRIÓ CUCARELLA\*

# CORRESPONDING ACROSS RELIGIOUS BORDERS THE LETTER OF AL-Q

SUMMARY: This article provides an introduction to the *Letter of al-Q*III a short anti-Islamic polemic in Arabic purportedly written by an unknown priest active in Toledo in the mid-1140s, as well as the complete English translation of the *Letter* together with an emended edition of the Arabic text first published by Abdelmajid Charfi in 1975.

The Letter of al-Q The or Letter of the Goth, is the rather prosaic name given by scholars to a short anti-Islamic polemic in Arabic purportedly written by an unknown priest active in Toledo in the mid-1140s<sup>1</sup>. As we shall see later, however, the ascription of this work to a Christian ecclesiastic is not without problems and therefore a later reworking of the text by a Muslim writer cannot be excluded. The Letter of al-Q The second s

<sup>\*</sup> Diego R. Sarrió Cucarella, MAfr, holds a licentiate in Arabic and Islamic Studies from the Pontifical Institute for Arabic and Islamic Studies (PISAI, Rome) and a PhD in Theological and Religious Studies from Georgetown University (Washington, D.C.). His research and publications focus on the intellectual history of Muslim-Christian relations. He is the author of *Muslim-Christian Polemics across the Mediterranean: The* Splendid Replies of Shih al-Da al-Da al-Qar (d. 684/1285) (Brill 2015). He currently lectures at the PISAI, where he is also the Director of Studies.

survived only in the refutation it occasioned, entitled  $Maq\Box ni \Box al - \Box lb\Box t$  (Hammers for Crosses), by the Andalus Muslim scholar A mad b. A bd al- A mad al-  $\Box azra\Box t$  (d. 582/1187). I shall first recall the figure of al-  $\Box azra\Box t$  and the circumstances of the composition of his refutation, before focusing on an analysis of the *Letter of al-Q*  $\Box t$  and offering a complete English translation together with an emended edition of the Arabic text<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> I wish to thank Pierre Masri, Hanan Ablahad and Fadi Kmeid for their invaluable help in sifting through the variants and establishing the Arabic text. I also thank Andrew Lane, Dennis Halft, Haggai Mazuz, Jason Welle and Mònica Colominas Aparicio for their helpful comments on previous versions of this text.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> To my knowledge, in addition to *Maq\ini\allowland\ini* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> On this author, see F. de la Granja Santamaría, Milagros españoles en una obra polémica musulmana (El Kittb MaqImilal-InlbInIdel JazrayI) Al-Andalus 33 (1968) 311-331; P.S. van Koningsveld, Muslim Slaves and Captives in Western Europe during the Late Middle Ages Islam and Christian-Muslim Relations 6 (1995) 12-13; T.E. Burman, Religious Polemic and the Intellectual History of the Mozarabs, c. 1050-1200, Brill, Leiden 1994, 80-84; A. Ljamai, Ibn Iazm et la polémique islamo-chrétienne dans III istoire de IIII Leiden 2003, 145-152; J.P. Monferrer Sala, I-Al-KhazrajIII in Christian-Muslim Relations: A Bibliographical History, vol. 3, 526-528; and D. Potthast, Christen und Muslime im Andalus. Andalusische Christen und ihre Literatur nach religionspolemischen Texten des zehnten bis zwölften Jahrhunderts, Harrassowitz, Wiesbaden 2013, 237-241.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ibn al-Abb, al-Qu**D** *al-Takmila li-Kit al- al-*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ibn 🖾 bd al-Malik al-Marr 🖾 kull al-Layl wa-l-takmila li-kit 🗁 ay al-Maw 🖽 wa-l-Sila, ed. I 🖾 h 🖾 bb 🖾, Mu 🖾 mmad Ben 🖾 ta Ba 🖾 ta Amar 🖾 takwa 🖾 Ma 🖾 f, 6 vols., D 🖬 al-Larb al-Isl m 🖵 t is 2012, vol. 1, 420-421 [no. 308], here 420.

<sup>7</sup> Ibid., 421.

According to the prologue, which is written in the third person and appears to be the work of a disciple, the priest was in the habit of confronting unsophisticated Muslims living in the city with objections to their religion. The Muslims in question brought these objections to al- $\Box$ azra $\Box$ and returned to the priest equipped with answers. When the priest finally learned about al- $\Box$ azra $\Box$ he wrote a letter to him and asked the messengers to bring back his reply. Al- $\Box$ azra $\Box$ scolded them and refrained from replying, fearing the consequences because of his precarious situation. On the insistence of his fellow Muslims, al- $\Box$ azra $\Box$ finally agreed to write a response known as  $Maq\Box ni \Box al - \Box lb \Box n$  which he left with them on his departure from Toledo<sup>11</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> On these events, see, among others, E. Díaz and P. Molina, Las Campañas de Alfonso VII en Andalucía: un precedente de la conquista de Córdobal in *Andalucía entre Oriente y Occidente (1236-1492): Actas del V Coloquio Internacional de Historia Medieval de Andalucía*, ed. E. Cabrera, Diputación Provincial de Córdoba, Córdoba 1988, 63-70, and R. El Hour, Córdoba frente a los Almorávides: familias de cadíes y poder local en al-Andalus *Revista del Instituto Egipcio de Estudios Islámicos en Madrid* 29 (1997) 181-210. See also, A.S. Baadj, *Saladin, the Almohads and the BanGhiya: The Contest for North Africa (12th and 13th centuries)*, Brill, Leiden 2015, 48-85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> M. Fierro, Christian Success and Muslim Fear in Andalus Writings during the Almoravid and Almohad Periods in *Dhimmis and Others: Jews and Christians and the World of Classical Islam*, ed. U. Rubin and D.J. Wasserstein, Eisenbrauns, Winona Lake, IN 1997, 169. See also Potthast, *Christen und Muslime im Andalus*, 239.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> On the preservation of a Hispano-Gothic identity in the Iberian Peninsula after the Islamic invasion of 711, see L.A. García Moreno, Spanish Gothic Consciousness among the Mozarabs in Al-Andalus (VIIIExth Centuries) in *The Visigoths: Studies in Culture and Society*, ed. A. Ferreiro, Brill, Leiden 1999, 303-323. On the evolution of the Mozarabic community in light of Islam, see Burman, *Religious Polemic*, chapter 1: The Mozarabic Community in the Eleventh and Twelfth Centuries, 13-31. According to P.S. van Koningsveld, it is more plausible to think that al-Dazra vote his refutation only after his return to Cordoba, perhaps with the help of a Christian slave. See P.S. van Koningsveld, *Islamitische slaven en Gevangenen in West-Europa tijdens de late Middeleeuwen* [Inaugural address University of Leiden. 4 February 1994], Rijks Universiteit Leiden, Leiden 1994, 18-19, note 40. I owe this reference to Mònica Colominas Aparico.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The *Maq* mi was first edited by the bad al-Mart al-Dart Abdelmajid Charfi], Markaz al-Dir wa-l-Bu al-Iqti kaya wa-l-Ici mi ya, This 1975 (coll. al-Dir al-Isl mi ya, 1), on which see the

As mentioned above, the letter which occasioned al- $\Box$ azra $\Box$  response has survived only in the refutation it occasioned. We know next to nothing regarding the identity of its author. We can presume that he was a priest active in Toledo in the mid-1140s. A polemical interest in Islam on the part of a Toledo churchman fits the historical context well. It suffices to recall that Peter the Venerable (d. 1156), the ninth abbot of Cluny, visited the Iberian Peninsula in 1142, where he commissioned the first full translation of the Qur the Iberian Peninsula in 1142, where he commissioned the first full translation of the Qur the Saracens the so-called *Collectio Toletana* or, perhaps more appropriately, *Corpus Islamolatinum*<sup>12</sup>. However, certain anomalies in the text preserved in al- $\Box$ azra $\Box$  refutation have raised suspicions about its authenticity, at least in its current form<sup>13</sup>. The allegedly Christian letter includes, among other irregularities, a contradictory description of the Trinity as consisting of  $\Box$  here hypostases in one hypostasis in one substance  $\Box (\Box \Box \Box aq \Box \Box n f \Box \Box uqn \Box n w \Box d)$ <sup>14</sup>  $\Box$  instead of the expected  $\Box$  here hypostasis in one substance  $\Box (\Box \Box \Box aq \Box \Box n f \Box \Box uqn \Box m w \Box d)$ <sup>14</sup>  $\Box$  and two problematic quotations from the Torah<sup>15</sup>. At the same time, it has been remarked

<sup>12</sup> J. Martínez Gázquez, dislamolatina». La percepción del islam en la Europa cristiana. Traducciones latinas del Corán. Literatura latina de controversia *Medievalia* 15 (2012), 39-42; Ó. de la Cruz Palma, dos textos de la llamada *Collectio Toletana*, fuente de información sobre el Islam *The Journal of Medieval Latin* 17 (2007) 413-434; J. Martínez Gázquez and Ó. de la Cruz Palma, das traducciones árabe-latinas impulsadas por Pedro el Venerable in *Las órdenes militares: realidad e imaginario*, ed. M.D. Burdeus, E. Real and J. Verdegal, Publicacions de la Universitat Jaume I, Castellón de la Plana 2000, 285-296; A. Fidora Reina, da Escuela de Traductores in *La Catedral Primada de Toledo: dieciocho siglos de historia*, ed. R. Gonzálvez Ruiz, Promecal, Burgos 2010, 480-491. See also P.S. van Koningsveld, da Apología de al-Kind en la España del siglo XII. Huellas toledanas de un fanimal disputax *im Estudios sobre Alfonso VI y la Reconquista de Toledo: Actas del II Congreso Internacional de Estudios Mozárabes (Toledo, 20-26 mayo 1985), Instituto de Estudios Visig* ico-Moz de San Eugenio, Toledo 1988, vol. 3, 107-129, espec. 109-110.

<sup>13</sup> The Arabic text of the *Letter of al-Q* **L** is found in *Maq mi*, *d.* al-Larf 29-39. For an analysis of its contents and the question of its authenticity, see Samir, Maq *mi l al*-Li *b L* 242-248; Burman, *Religious Polemic*, 65-70; Potthast, *Christen und Muslime im Andalus*, 243-246; and Tieszen, *Christian Identity*, 212-220.

<sup>14</sup>  $Maq\Box mi \Box ed. al-\Box arf \Box 31.$ 

<sup>15</sup> Samir, Maqini al-ibin 253. Still, in my view, the argument against the authenticity of the *Letter of al-Q* content of the presence of misquotations of biblical passages and of spurious biblical passages in it has been overstated. Not all biblical references in the work are meant as direct quotations from the scriptures.

extensive review of Kh. Samir, Maqimilal-Inlbin li-Almad Ibn Abd allamad al-lazra (519/1125) 582/1186), éd. critique par Abd al-Malia ash-Sharf Tunis, 1975 *Islamochristiana* 6 (1980) 242-254, and the remarks of al-Munilal-Kalik (1980) 107-126. The *Maqimi* has also been edited by Mulammad Ima under the title of *Bayna l-Islim wa-l-Mas isliya: Kitle Abiit/bayda al-lazra* Maktabat Wahba, al-Qinira 1979. See also the following studies: Ibiid Abd al-lalin Abd al-Ralin al-Suy *islial-ladal al-dialibayna l-Muslimin wa-Ahl al-Kitle bi-l-Andalus: Ibn lazra al-lazra* Qibili al-Qinira 2001, and Iabd al-Ralin al-labil, *Abiit/bayda al-lazra islial al-Matin al-ladal al-dialibayna libit. Maqini* al-labil, *Abiit/bayda al-lazra islial al-ladal al-dialibayna libit. al-Natin al-ladal al-dialibayna libit.* 

that the text contains an Arabic calque from Latin (*ilta* $\square$ *uma*), which was not common in Christian Arab writings originating in the East, which suggests the local origin of this material<sup>16</sup>. Therefore, it is better to provisionally propose two possible explanations for the current state of the text to which al- $\square$ azra $\square$ replies: either it consists of a Muslim writer $\square$  reworking (or translation and reworking) of an existing Christian text $\square$  and that Muslim writer may have been al- $\square$ azra $\square$ himself; or it is an entirely new composition by a Muslim author who was nevertheless familiar with the topics of Muslim-Christian polemics and with Christian apologetic arguments current in the Iberian Peninsula<sup>17</sup>.

After recalling the role of Jesus Christ in the divine economy of creation and redemption, the *Letter of al-Q* begins by inviting its Muslim addressee to confess the Triune God if he wishes to receive God  $\Box$  mercy and attain Paradise. The letter then proceeds to claim qur bic support for the Christian confession of Christ as the Son of God. Not only did He restore the dead to life, as mentioned in the Qur b, but He also gave the power to His apostles to do the same and sent them out to all the nations. The next paragraphs focus on the Incarnation, God  $\Box$  personal and decisive intervention in history that brings to completion His mercy toward humankind. Here the letter reflects a medieval view of the atonement, portraying Christ as having made satisfaction on the cross to an offended God for the sins of humankind. It would have been unbefitting of God  $\Box$  infinite sublimity to take revenge on His disobedient servant, Adam. Instead, the letter explains,  $\Box$  God chose to take His revenge on the human being who is a God like Himself Him. To deny the crucifixion is an act of unbelief by which Muslims jeopardize their own salvation. Nevertheless, they exalt Christ and there is much good

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> According to Tieszen (*Christian Identity*, 214), the *Letter of al-Q* $\square$ TDDnay only be farce insofar as it may not have existed as an authentic Christian text in and of itself as we have it today. Instead, it may simply be an accurate summary of the Mozarab priest line of argumentation that falters in some of its recollection and use of Christian Scripture and doctrine Likewise, Potthast (*Christen und Muslime im Andalus*, 240) writes:  $\Box$ Al- $\Box$ azra $\Box$ S Gesprächspartner wird daher eine umfangreiche theologische Bildung besessen haben, die nur in den *Maq* $\Box$ *ni* $\Box$ *a* $\Box$ *alb* $\Box$ *i* aufgrund muslimischer Unwissenheit nicht adäquat abgebildet warden konnte

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Maq⊡ni, ed. al-□arf, 33.

in their religion. Thus there is a chance that they may come to the full truth, to which attest the scriptures that Muslims acknowledge.

From this point onwards, the letter presents a series of arguments for the superiority of the Christian religion as well as examples of the perceived deficiencies of Islam: The Our Father is  $\Box$ he best prayer one can pray $\Box$ <sup>9</sup>. Christians are blessed with the annual descent of the Holy Fire in the Church of the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem. God has granted the bishops the power to forgive sins. The Islamic law falls short of the perfection required by the Gospel: Development where the perfection required by the Gospel: Development of the perfection required by the cheek, offer him your left one  $\square^0$ . The qur  $\square$  hic injunctions regulating polygamy, repudiation and remarriage prove that Muslims do not follow the truth. The letter takes particular issue with Q 9:111, in which the promise of Paradise to those who kill or are killed in the way of God is said to be found  $\Box$ n the Torah, and the Gospel, and the Qur $\square$   $\square$   $\square$  Yet  $\square$  the letter retorts,  $\square$  he Torah and the Gospel state just the opposite  $!\square^1$ , a contradiction which Muslims cannot explain away by adducing the abrogation of the previously revealed legislation, as in the case of polygamy, repudiation and remarriage. Furthermore, the Qurth is simply wrong about Mary, the mother of Christ: The name of her father was Joachim, and you have taken her to be the daughter of  $\Box$ mr $\Box$ h, who was the sister of Moses and Aaron $\square$  explains the letter<sup>22</sup>. The Our $\square$ h is also said to contradict the biblical story of the fall of Iblis, another difficulty Muslims cannot escape by citing the theory of abrogation, since it is not a matter of legislation<sup>23</sup>. Nonetheless, some Muslims explain such discrepancies by adducing the textual corruption of the Jewish and Christian scriptures<sup>24</sup>. The letter adamantly rebuffs this accusation as being □ust words that you have made up yourselves□and as being part and parcel of the unbelief of Muslims<sup>25</sup>. The letter goes on to affirm the divine favour that Christians enjoy as shown by the continuous presence of signs and miracles among them. Next,

<sup>23</sup> Unlike other arguments of the *Letter of al-Q* the question about the conflicting accounts of the fall of Ibls is not taken up by al-lazra in his refutation. This absence seems to exclude the possibility that al-lazra in hisself could have entirely composed the alleged Christian letter as an excuse to deploy his attack on Christianity. For earlier examples of negative Christian reactions to the qurutile story of the fall of Ibls (Q 2:34; 7:11-18; 15:26-43; 17:61-65; 18:50-51; 20:116; and 38:71-85), see S. Minov, stans Refusal to Worship Adam: A Jewish Motif and Its Reception in Syriac Christian Tradition in *Tradition, Transmission, and Transformation from Second Temple Literature through Judaism and Christianity in Late Antiquity*, ed. M. Kister et al., Brill, Leiden 2015, 258-267; see, for instance, the writings of the Chalcedonian monk Anastasios of Sinai (d. ca. 700), who dismisses the idea that stat fell away because of his not paying homage to Adam a stilly myths [that] belong to the Pagans and Arabs J.A. Munitiz (trans.), *Anastasios of Sinai: Questions and Answers*, Brepols, Turnhout 2011, 195 (cited by Minov, 258).

<sup>24</sup> The literature on the topic is abundant. A good entry point is A. Saeed, The Charge of Distortion of Jewish and Christian Scriptures *Muslim World* 92 (2002) 419-436.

<sup>25</sup>  $Maq\Box ni \Box ed. al-\Box arf \Box 37.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>  $Maq \Box ni \Box ed. al \Box arf \Box 34.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup>  $Maq\Box ni \Box ed. al-\Box arf \Box 35.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup>  $Maq\Box ni \Box ed. al-\Box arf \Box 36.$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>  $Maq\Box ni \Box ed. al-\Box arf \Box 36.$ 

it denounces the qur mic view of heaven as a place of  $\Box$  taing and drinking and copulation Christians do believe in the resurrection of the body,  $\Box$  but we shall not eat nor drink  $\Box^6$ . Finally, the letter draws a severe contrast between the spread of  $\Box$  he religion of the cross without the sword and without coercion and Islam triumph on earth  $\Box$  by the sword and coercion This contrast only reflects the behaviour of their respective founders: whereas Mu and  $\Box$  ought all the nations and subdued them by his sword Christ  $\Box$  ame only as a servant and in weakness, and He did not fight anyone  $\Box^7$ . The letter concludes by inviting its addressee to consider the advice offered, hoping that God will make it a light to guide him.

In what follows, I offer an emendation to  $\Box$ Abd al-Ma $\Box$ d al- $\Box$ arf $\Box$ s edition of the preface of  $Maq\Box ni \Box al \Box alb \Box a$ , which includes the *Letter of al-Q* $\Box$  $\Box$  Changes have been made on the basis of the variants within the manuscript tradition as listed in the critical apparatus of the two published editions of the  $Maq\Box ni \Box$  to which I refer the interested reader<sup>28</sup>. I have also considered the remarks of Samir Khalil in this review of al- $\Box$ arf $\Box$ s edition, as well as the passages of the *Letter of al-Q* $\Box$  $\Box$  under the by al- $\Box$ ara $\Box$  fellow Cordovan, A $\Box$  and b.  $\Box$  mar al-An $\Box$  al-Da $\Box$  $\Box$  min al-fas $\Box$  wa-l-awh $\Box n^{29}$ . The translation of the *Letter of al-Q* $\Box$  $\Box$  follows and completes that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Maq ini description of heaven is a classical topos of anti-Muslim polemics. A panoramic view of the development of this polemical motif in Christian-Muslim controversy is furnished in B. Roggema, *The Legend of Sergius Ba* is *Eastern Christian Apologetics and Apocalyptic in Response to Islam*, Brill, Leiden 2009, 121-128.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Maq Ini ed. al-Iarf 38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Al-Larf edition of the *MaqLaniLal-LalbLa* is based on the following nine manuscripts (see Introduction, 18-25): MS Istanbul, Aya Sofiya 2367 (19th century); MS Istanbul, Almet III 1863 (19th century); MS Tunis, Al-Maktaba al-WaLaniyya 14472 (16th century) [previously MS Tunis, Al-Maktaba al-Almadiyya 2063 (16th century)]; MS Istanbul, Topkapi Sarayi R 506/3 (possibly 18th century); MS Istanbul, Maktaba AsLad Effendi 6/4 (18th century); MS Tunis, Al-Maktaba al-WaLaniyya 18545 (19th century); MS Tunis, Al-Maktaba al-WaLaniyya 13659 (19th century); MS Tunis Dprivate copy belonging to MuLammad al-LalilLal-Nayfar (19th century); and MS Tunis, Al-Maktaba al-WaLaniyya 8983 (19th century). As for LanaL edition, it is based on two manuscripts (see Introduction, 40-46): MS Istanbul, Al-Maktaba al-WaLaniyya 14472 (16th century)]. Lana also used a work published in Egypt at the end of the nineteenth century by MuLammad Al-Lal-MallalLander the title *al-FLDI bayna l-Daq wa-l-b*LDI (al-QLhira 1898). This work was in reality the *MaqLaniLal-DibLa*. Al-MallDD however, created a fictitious historical setting: an encounter between an Egyptian Christian named LanalMaqLal-LandEg author of al-LazaLDI al-MuLammadLwho was the alleged author of al-Laza

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See A and b. IJmar al-An III al-Qurab *al-II an bi-ma al-IA al-Na al-Ia al-Na al-Ia al-Ia*

of Thomas Burman, but modified to reflect my own reading of the Arabic text<sup>30</sup>. Words between square brackets, including paragraph titles, have been added to facilitate the understanding of the text.

\* \*

## $[MAQ \square MI \square AL \neg ULB \square N \square Preface]$

In the name of God, the Compassionate, the Merciful. May God bless our lord MuDammad and his family and grant them abundant peace.

When the decree of God the Most High concerning the destruction of the sovereignty of Cordoba and the dispersion of its inhabitants took effect, with the subsequent hardships following upon it, a young man from Cordoba, of the house of  $\Box$ Abd al- $\Box$ aqq al- $\Box$ azra $\Box$ prenowned for his intelligence, made his way to Toledo. In Toledo, there was a priest of Gothic descent who was constantly raising religious objections to a group of Muslims who frequented him. They began to bring their questions to the young man [from Cordoba], who would answer them, and they would then take back the answers to the priest. The priest refused to accept their rejoinder because he knew that they were not intelligent persons. He questioned them one day and they told him about the young man. The Goth wrote a personal letter and asked them to take it to him and bring back his response.

This is the text of his letter:

#### [Letter of al- $Q\Box\Box$ ]

From So-and-so to So-and-so. In the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Spirit, one God. Peace be upon you, and the mercy of God and His blessings, O young Ishmaelite, Muslim, Mu mmadan.

### [Believe in the Trinity and you will gain Paradise]

After the praise of God Who guided us to His religion and strengthened us with His right hand and set us apart through His beloved Son, and bestowed upon us mercy through the cross of Jesus (Yas , the Messiah, our God, Who created {the heavens

<sup>656/2</sup> November 1258. On this scholar and his anti-Christian polemical work, see Potthast, *Christen und Muslime im Andalus*, 316-421, and D.R. Sarrió Cucarella, *Muslim-Christian Polemics across the Mediterranean: The* Splendid Replies of *Shih al-D al-Qar al-Qar*, brill, Leiden 2014, 82-90. On al-Qur lib references to the *Letter of al-Q al-Qar see* Aillet, *Les mozarabes*, 221-222. In Aillet view, the *Letter of al-Q al-Qar bits* references to the *Letter of al-Q al-Qar see* Aillet, *Les mozarabes*, 221-222. In Aillet view, the *Letter of al-Q al-Qar bits* references to the *al-Qar bits see* Aillet, *as a pretext to refute Christianity and assert the truth of Islam.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> T.E. Burman (trans.), Mozarabic Refutation of Islam (ca. 1140) in *Medieval Iberia: Readings from Christian, Muslim, and Jewish Sources*, ed. O.R. Constable, 2nd ed., University of Pennsylvania Press, Philadelphia 2012, 190-194. A complete German translation of the *Letter of al-Q* is provided in Potthast, *Christen und Muslime im Andalus*, 533-537.

and the earth and all that is in them} (Q 5:120) and Who redeemed us by His holy blood, and lifted from our necks the sin which was on the necks of the sons of Adam because of his having eaten from the tree that was forbidden to him  $\Box$  for the Messiah saved us by His blood and redeemed us and protected us from the suffering of Gehenna; He shed His blood to make satisfaction for all the offspring of Adam since the sin remained on the necks of all of them; all were saved from it except whoever disbelieved in Him and doubted Him  $\Box$  if you desire that God would cover you with His mercy and that you would gain His Paradise, then believe in God and confess that the Messiah is the Son of God Who is God, and the Holy Spirit: three hypostases in one substance<sup>31</sup>, and then you will prosper and be rightly guided<sup>32</sup>.

### [The divinity of Christ]

Have you not heard what is in the Book your lawgiver brought, that [the Messiah] is the Spirit of God and His Word<sup>33</sup> and that He was {eminent in this world and the next and one of those brought near [to God]} (Q 3:45)? And who is more eminent in this world and the next than the Messiah, the Son of God? And in the Book your lawgiver brought [it is written] that He restored the dead to life and this suffices as evidence that He is God<sup>34</sup>.

### [The sending of the Apostles]

Then He strengthened some of His apostles ( $\Box tw \Box t iyy \Box^{35}$ ) with the power to restore the dead to life and they restored the dead to life just as the Messiah had done<sup>36</sup>.

<sup>32</sup> Compare with Potthast interpretation:

<sup>34</sup> See Q 3:49.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Literally, Three hypostases ( $aq\Box L\Box n$ ) in one hypostasis ( $qun\Box n$ ) which makes no sense. The Greek term *hypostasis*, used in Christian theology to refer to the threeness of the Trinity, was translated into Syriac as  $qnom\Box$  which was then transliterated into Arabic as  $uqn\Box n / aqn\Box n / qun\Box n$  (pl.  $aq\Box L\Box n$ ). See S.P. Brock, The Christology of the Church of the EastD in *Traditions and Heritage of the Christian East*, ed. A. Muraviev and D. Afinogenov, Izdatelstvo IndrikD Moscow 1996, 159-179, reprinted in S.P. Brock, *Fire from Heaven: Studies in Syriac Theology and Liturgy*, Ashgate, Aldershot 2006, III. See also Bo Holmberg, IDPersonD the Trinitarian Doctrine of Christian Arabic Apologetics and Its Background in the Syriac Church FathersD in *Studia Patristica* 25, ed. E.A. Livingstone, Peeters, Leuven 1993, 300-307.

<sup>□</sup>Wenn du willst, dass Gott dich mit seiner Gnade einhüllt und dass du seinen Garten erringst, so glaube an Gott und sag: *Der Messias, der Sohn Gottes, ist Gott. Durch den Heiligen Geist sind drei Hypostasen (uqn*□*n) eine einzige Hypostase.* Du wirst Erfolg haben und rechtgeleitet sein□ (p. 533).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Reference to Q 4:171, a qurIIInic passage to which Christian apologists have turned again and again as a proof-text in defense of the veracity of the doctrine of the Trinity and the doctrine of the Incarnation. Perhaps the earliest case of Christian awareness of this passage is found in a letter of the Syrian Orthodox bishop, Jacob of Edessa (d. 708). See S.H. Griffith, *The Church in the Shadow of the Mosque: Christians and Muslims in the World of Islam*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ 2008, 31-32.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> The quration word  $\Box tw \Box t y y \Box t$  (sing.  $\Box tw \Box t \Box$ ) is an Ethiopic loan word meaning  $\Box t postles \Box$  The Quratific employs it four times to refer to the close disciples of Jesus (see Q 3:52, 5:11-12, 61:14).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> See Matt 10:8.

And, after giving His laws to them, the Messiah sent them out to all the nations, enjoining them to spread His authority<sup>37</sup>. The people saw Him with their own eyes as He humbled himself before them. Thus it was their duty to do just as they saw their Creator do<sup>38</sup>.

#### [The reason for the Incarnation]

For truly, when He (to Whom belong Might and Majesty!) addressed the world through the mouths<sup>39</sup> of His prophets, He made them His messengers and mediators to His creatures, to teach them to acknowledge His lordship. [The prophets] decreed that they abandon their idols and images whose perverseness was spread over all the earth. Then He (praise be upon Him!) descended from heaven to address humankind personally so that they might have no cause against Him, for their cause is cut short by the very fact of His having spoken to them Himself, directly, and not through a mediator. For from one who had broken his compact with God, all excuses faded once God Himself had intervened in order to bring to completion His mercy toward humankind.

### [The fact of the Incarnation]

Thus, He personally descended from heaven and was made flesh (*ilta* $\square$ *uma*) in the womb of the Virgin Mary, the Maiden, Mother of the Light. He took on a veil ( $\square$  $\square$ ) from her just as He had anticipated in His eternal wisdom, because  $\square$ n the beginning was the Word and the Word was God $\square$ (John 1:1)<sup>40</sup>. He is creature with respect to the body ( $\square$ *sm*) and Creator with respect to the spirit (*nafs*), He created His body and He created His mother. His mother existed before Him in His humanity and He existed before her in His divinity. He is completely God and completely human being.

<sup>40</sup> On the diffusion in al-Andalus of the theological understanding of Jesus Christ as  $\Box$ he human veil $\Box(al-\Box\Box b al-ins\Box b\Box)$ through which God could address humankind, see Potthast, *Christen und Muslime im Andalus*, 424-458, and Aillet, *Les mozarabes*, 231-234. Although the *Letter of al-Q* $\Box$  $\Box$  $\Box$  does not make any explicit connection in this regard, the reference to a  $\Box$ veil $\Box$ recalls Q 42:51:  $\Box$ t belongs not to any mortal that God should speak to him, except by revelation, or from behind a veil ( $\Box$  $\Box$ b), or that He should send a messenger and he reveal whatsoever He will, by His leave $\Box$  Indeed, a number of Arab Christian apologists evoked this qur $\Box$  hic passage, suggesting that  $\Box$ he veil the Qur $\Box$ h mentions is none other than the human nature of the Messiah, from behind which the Son of God, God the Word, addressed mankind $\Box$  (Griffith, *The Church in the Shadow*, 169). See also S.Kh. Samir,  $\Box$ The Earliest Arab Apology for Christianity (c. 750) $\Box$  in *Christian Arabic Apologetics during the Abbasid Period (750-1258)*, ed. S.Kh. Samir and J.S. Nielsen, Brill, Leiden 1994, 96-97:

 $\square$ Mankind was not able to look towards God and live $\square$  For that reason God veiled Himself through a Man (*i* $\square a \square a bi-ins \square a$ ) without sin. So He showed us mercy in Christ, and brought us near to Him $\square$ 

On the devildtheme in relation to the Incarnation, see also J.C. Block, *The Qurdin in Christian-Muslim Dialogue: Historical and Modern Interpretations*, Routledge, London 2014, 93, 98, 101-102, 112, 167-168.

<sup>37</sup> See Matt 28:19-20.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> See Phil 2:1-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Literally, **□**ongues

## [God took revenge for the sin of Adam with the crucifixion of Jesus]

It is part of His total mercy toward humankind that He consented to shed His blood for its members on the wood of the cross. Thus, He placed himself in the hands of the Jews, His enemies, to execute His wrath upon them. They took Him and crucified Him. His blood sank into His finger, because if some of it had fallen on the earth, the earth would have dried up □only a small bit fell on the wood of the cross, and flowers sprang there<sup>41</sup>. It is impossible in God is eternal wisdom that He should take revenge on His disobedient servant Adam, who had wronged Him and shown insufficient respect for Him. God did not want to take revenge on him because of the contrast between His elevated rank as Master and the lowness of that of His servant. [God] chose to take His revenge on the human being who is a God like Himself, and so He took revenge for the sin of Adam with the crucifixion of Jesus (□SC<sup>2</sup>), the Messiah, Who is God [and thus] equal to Him<sup>43</sup>.

### [Muslim denial of the crucifixion]

And so the Son of God (to Whom belong Might and Majesty!), He who is God, was crucified on Friday at the ninth hour<sup>44</sup>. The accursed Jews crucified Him. And the Jews acknowledge that they crucified Him, whereas you [Muslims] deny this, an act

□Is [les juifs] s⊡mparèrent de lui et le crucifièrent, et son sang sécha sur ses doigts, car si des gouttes en étaient tombées sur le sol, elles auraient desséché tout ce qu⊡lles auraient atteint, comme les flammes asséchant tout ce qu⊡lles touchent□(Aillet, *Les mozarabes*, 222).

Aillet misunderstanding of the text (he translates the Arabic *nuww* as manned nstead of moves preinforces his belief that the *Letter of al-Q* mess a forgery. He comments: **D** besission du pseudo-prêtre à lagard de la valeur miraculeuse du sang christique est par contre beaucoup plus insolite, car les Évangiles na de nullement le sang répandu à cette image du feu, symbole de la colère et de la toute-puissance de Dieu. Visiblement, il sagit ici de préparer une diatribe contre la superstition des chrétiens, adonnés au culte des miracles  $\square$ (*ibid.*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> The Arabic text is a bit confusing here and we should exclude a corruption in the transmission. The background of the passage is the medieval Legend of the Cross, a complex narrative construction which developed around the material instrument of Christs passion between the early fifth and the fourteenth century. According to some versions of the legend, the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil furnished the wood for the cross on which Jesus died. It is said that flowers sprang from the wood of the cross when the blood of Christ fell on it. See, among others, B. Baert, *A Heritage of Holy Wood: The Legend of the True Cross in Text and Image*, Brill, Leiden 2004. Compare with Aillets translation of the same paragraph quoted in al-Qurtible.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Qurthic name for Jesus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Compare with Aillet I translation of the same paragraph quoted in al-Qur Ib□al-I□□n, 251: □1 nIst pas possible, dans toute sa Sagesse éternelle, que Dieu se venge de son serviteur désobéissant, Adam, celui qui a commis la faute et qui a négligé sa puissance. Dieu nI pas voulu se venger de lui. Pour élever la dignité du Seigneur et faire descendre celle du Serviteur, il a voulu se faire honorer par I□10mme qui est divin comme lui. Il a obtenu justice pour le péché d□Adam par la mise en croix de Jésus le Messie qui est Dieu, et il a effacé le péché avec lui□ (Aillet, *Les mozarabes*, 222).

<sup>44</sup> See Mark 15:33-34; Matt 27:45-46.

of unbelief on your part because for us the denial of the crucifixion<sup>45</sup> is unbelief, so that everyone who denies it is an unbeliever.

## [Believe that Christ is God and your belief will be complete]

Nevertheless you [Muslims] exalt the Messiah and because of this I hope that God will guide you to the truth. All your doctrines are good and there was among you much justice and general goodness at the origin of your religion. So if you were to believe in the Messiah and affirm that He is God, the Creator of the heavens and the earth, your belief would be complete<sup>46</sup>. There is no doubt that you<sup>47</sup> read the Torah, the Psalms and the Books of the Prophets; take heed [of them], for in these are found attestations of all of this.

### [The superiority of Christian prayer]

Our prayer is the best prayer one can pray. It is as follows: Dur Father Who are in heaven. Hallowed be Your name. Your kingdom come. Your will be done in earth as it is in heaven. Give us the bread that is convenient<sup>48</sup> for us. And forgive us our sins

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Urvoy argument against the authenticity of the *Letter of al-Q* **D** be the grounds that this positive view of Islam as lacking only the confession of Christ divinity in order to be perfect is not attested among Christians before the end of the thirteenth century is not compelling on its own. In my view, these positive remarks are more an exercise of *captatio benevolentiae* than they are a theological assessment of Islam. The rest of the letter makes it clear that Islam is perceived as being deficient in several areas, and not just with regards to Christology. See D. Urvoy, *Pensers dal-Andalus: La vie intellectuelle à Cordoue et Séville au temps des empires Berbères (fin XI e siècle*  $début XIII^e$  siècle), Presses Universitaires du Mirail, Toulouse 1990, 166.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> DYouDsingular, i.e., the Muslim young man from Cordoba.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup>  $mul \square m$ : attested in the Arabic version reproduced in Sinai Arabic Codex 69 (1065). See J.P. Monferrer Sala,  $\square I \square l \square \square \square \square$ . Notas sobre antiguas versiones árabes del Padre Nuestro  $Al-Qan \square a 21 (2000) 277-305$ , here 289. The surviving manuscripts of al- $\square azra \square \square$  work give us different possibilities. One of them is  $muy \square vim$ , a word not attested in Arabic, but which could be a copyist  $\square$  mistake, the original word being  $muy \square vim$ , daily, which is used in several occidental Arabic versions of the Our Father. See Monferrer Sala, 294-296.

as we forgive those who sin against us. Do not cause us to perish in the test<sup>49</sup> and protect us from the Evil one $\square$  This is our prayer.

## [God approval of Christianity]

Every year, in Jerusalem, God makes the light descent upon us from heaven<sup>50</sup>.

Through the hands of our bishops, God has done what He has not done through the hands of anyone else, and this because whatever [the bishops] do on earth, God will do in heaven<sup>51</sup>. Therefore, when we sin, they are the ones who accept our acts of penance and forgive our sins, and in their hands is the salvation ( $\Box / \Box \Box$ ) of the living and the dead.

## [The superiority of Christian law]

Many of our bishops have written books discrediting your religion. [The bishops] mention your lawgiver and they describe things in such a way that we see that you [Muslims] do not follow the truth; the truth is rather with us. And there is no benefit in [following] your religious law ( $\Box r \Box b$ ) because we find that there are two kinds of religious injunctions. The first is from the Torah:  $\Box W$ hoever strikes you, strike him $\Box$  The second is from the Gospel:  $\Box W$ hoever strikes you on your right cheek, offer him your left one $\Box^2$ . You see that the second is superior to the first, and you will not find any other third injunction that is not already included in these two<sup>53</sup>.

And what evidence is more convincing of the fact that you [Muslims] do not follow the truth than what is written in your Book: {Marry such women as seem good to you, two, three, four} (Q 4:3). And yet God said in the Gospel that a man should not marry more than one woman, just as was [the case] with Adam and his wife<sup>54</sup>. And it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> / *d iqn j al*-*m iaia*: expression used in several oriental and occidental Arabic versions of the Our Father. See Monferrer Sala, *d ibiaiia<i>iaiaiaiaiaiaia<i>iaiaiaiaia<i>iaiaia<i>iaiaia<i>iaiaiaiaia<i>iaiaia<i>iaiaiaiaiaiaiaia<i>ia<i>iaiaiaiaiaia<i>iaiaiaiaiaia<i>iaiaiaiaia<i>iaiaia<i>iaiaiaiaia<i>iaiaiaiaia<i>iaiaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaiaia<i>iaiaia<i>iaia<i>iaiaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>ia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>ia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>ia<i>iaiia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>ia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>ia<i>iaia<i>iaiaia<i>iaia<i>ia<i>iaia<i>ia<i>iaia<i>iaia<i>i* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Reference to the annual descent of the ⊞oly Fire⊟in the Church of the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem. During this miracle, which supposedly takes place on the eve of Easter Sunday, fire descends from the dome of the Church and lights the lamps hanging above the site believed to be Christ⊡ tomb. Several medieval Christian and Muslim accounts of the miracle can be found in M. Gil, *A History of Palestine*, 634-1099, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1992, 466-469.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> See Matt 16:19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> See Matt 5:38-39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Compare with Aillet I translation of the same paragraph quoted in al-Qur Ib□*al-I*IIIn, 448: □Votre loi ne présente aucun avantage alors que la nôtre possède deux prescriptions. La première nous vient de la Torah, et dit «Celui qui te giffle, giffle-le !». Le seconde nous vient de lIÉ vangile et affirme : «Celui qui te frappe sur la joue droite, tends-lui la joue gauche»□(Aillet, Les mozarabes, 221).

Once again, Aillet misunderstanding of the argument reinforces his conviction that the alleged Christian letter cannot be authentic. He comments:  $\Box$  a tentative de concilier linconciliable, clast-à-dire la loi du Talion avec la célèbre parole évangélique, dénonce la fonction de faire-valoir de ce pastiche entièrement forgé pour recevoir la réponse din interlocuteur musulman mieux armé intellectuellement (*ibid.*).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Not a direct quote. It seems to be referring to Jesus Teaching in Mark 10:1-12 and Matt 19:4-6.

is written in your Book that when a man divorces his wife a third time, {it is not permitted to him to marry her after that, until she marries another husband} (Q 2:230). Yet God said in the Torah,  $\Box$ Whoever divorces his wife and then wants to return to her again, she is permitted to him as long as no other man has touched her $\Box$ <sup>5</sup>.

And it is written in your Book: {God has bought from the believers their persons and their possessions against the gift of Paradise; they fight in the way of God; they kill, and are killed; that is a promise binding upon God in the Torah, and the Gospel, and the QurIII (Q 9:111). Yet God said in the Torah that there should be no fighting, for the killer and the slain will both end up in Hell<sup>56</sup>. There is nothing surprising in this because  $you^{57}$  can defend the injunctions I have mentioned from your Book by adducing the abrogation [of the previously revealed legislation], which is one of the preliminary principles at the basis of your religious law. The surprising thing lies only in the words of your Book which state that it is {a promise binding upon God in the Torah and the Gospel} (Q 9:111). Yet the Torah and the Gospel state just the opposite!

### [Errors in the $Qur \square h$ ]

There is also cause for surprise in what [your Book] says about Mary, the mother of the Messiah: {And Mary, daughter of  $\Box$ mr $\Box$ h, who guarded her private part} (Q 66:12). And in another passage it says about her: {Sister of Aaron! Your father was not a bad man, nor was your mother a prostitute} (Q 19:28). But the mother of the Messiah was neither the sister of Aaron nor the daughter of  $\Box$ mr $\Box$ h. The name of her father was Joachim, and you [Muslims] have taken her to be the daughter of  $\Box$ mr $\Box$ h, who was the sister of Moses and Aaron<sup>58</sup>.

And about Ibl, [your lawgiver] has also said in your Book that God cast him to earth when he refused to worship Adam<sup>59</sup>. Yet God had said in the Torah that He cast Ibl from heaven before He created Adam because he wanted to make himself the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup>  $\square$  her first husband, who sent her away, is not permitted to take her again to be his wife after she has been defiled  $\square$  (Deut 24:4 NRSV).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> DYouDsingular, i.e., the Muslim young man from Cordoba.

<sup>58</sup> See Num 26:59; 1 Chr 6:3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> According to the qurIIInic account, when God orders the angels to prostrate themselves before Adam, IbIII proudly refuses, saying:  $\Box$  am better than him: You made me from fire, and him from clay $\Box$ (Q 7:12; 38:76). In this respect, the QurIIIIn is closely connected with the Adam tradition of the *Cave of Treasures*, a Christian Syriac writing probably written in the sixth century. See G.S. Reynolds, *The QurIIII and its Biblical Subtext*, Routledge, London 2010, 49-51.

equal of God<sup>60</sup>. He prided himself above the angels and told them:  $\Box$  am made of fire and have no Creator. Make me, therefore, a throne upon which I will be like the Most High $\Box$  But he had not finished speaking when God cast him from heaven into the disgrace of the here-below, him and all his companions who had entertained the vile notion [of setting up the throne]<sup>61</sup>.

### [The integrity of Christian Scriptures]

You [Muslims] say that in the Torah, the Gospel, the Psalms and the Prophetic Books there is abundant corruption<sup>62</sup>, and that we have added to them and subtracted from them. But this is part and parcel of your unbelief. You have no evidence of this,

<sup>61</sup> The Letter of al-Q\_\_\_\_\_\_\_seems to reflect here a tradition preserved in 2 Enoch, a Jewish pseudepigraphon generally dated to the first century. 2 Enoch 29:4-5 describes Satan\_\_\_\_\_\_ primordial transgression as an attempt to exalt himself above his own rank in the angelic hierarchy established by God. According to this early apocalyptic work, one of the archangels (identified as \_\_\_\_\_\_\_satanail\_\_\_\_\_in 2 Enoch 31:4) conceived an \_\_\_\_\_\_mpossible idea\_\_\_ namely \_\_\_\_\_hat he might place his throne higher than the clouds which are above the earth, and that he might become equal to [God\_\_\_] power.\_\_\_\_\_It is for this reason that God hurled him out from the height, together with the angels under his authority. This happens on the second day of creation, before the creation of Adam on the sixth day:

<sup>3</sup>And from the rock I cut off a great fire, and from the fire I created the ranks of the bodiless armies  $\Box$  the myriad angels  $\Box$  and their weapons are fiery and their clothes are burning flames. And I gave orders that each one should stand in his own rank. <sup>4</sup>But one from the order of the archangels deviated, together with the division that was under his authority. He thought up the impossible idea, that he might place his throne higher than the clouds which are above the earth, and that he might become equal to my power. <sup>5</sup>And I hurled him out from the height, together with his angels. And he was flying around in the air, ceaselessly, above the Bottomless (*2 Enoch* 29, 3-5).

Trans. F.I. Andersen, D (Slavonic Apocalypse of) Enoch in *The Old Testament Pseudepi*grapha, Vol. I: Apocalyptic Literature and Testaments, ed. J.H. Charlesworth, Doubleday, New York 1983, 148.

<sup>62</sup> By **D**eorruption **D**(*fas***D***l*), Muslim polemicists who accused Jews and Christians of textual alteration of their scriptures usually meant the presence of what they perceived as theological aberrations, such as anthropomorphic descriptions of God or the attribution of undignified behavior to the prophets that contradicts the Islamic dogma of prophetic impeccability. See, for instance, T. Pulcini, *Exegesis as Polemical Discourse: Ibn* **D***azm on Jewish and Christian Scriptures*, Scholars Press, Atlanta, GA 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> As Tommaso Tesei remarks in a recent study (The Fall of Ibls and Its Enochic Background in *Religious Stories in Transformation: Conflict, Revision and Reception*, ed. A. Houtman et al., Brill, Leiden 2016, 66-81), the quration account of the fall of Ibls belongs to that branch of the wider stream of extrabiblical traditions where the rebellion and the fall of Satan take place after the creation of the first man. This version of the story was apparently favoured in the Syriac Christian tradition (see Minov, Satans Refusal to Worship Adam). Instead, following Augustine, Western Christianity preferred the version of the story where Satans primordial transgression takes place before the creation of Adam, which is precisely al-QLIIIS point here. See in this regard G.A. Anderson, The Fall of Satan in the Thought of St. Ephrem and John Milton *Hugoye: Journal of Syriac Studies* 3, no. 1 (2000), 9. See also J.-M. Vercruysse, Les Pères de IE glise et Lucifer (Lucifer d près Is 14 et Ez 28) *Revue des Sciences Religieuses* 75, no. 2 (2001) 147-174.

nor is it written in the Book your lawgiver brought. They are just words you have made up yourselves.

## [Miracles confirm the truth of Christianity]

If you<sup>63</sup> would peruse all of our books, and [perceive] the excellent qualities we had and continue to have to this day  $\Box$  for among us are righteous persons who perform signs and miracles<sup>64</sup>, though they do not make a display of them unless there is need of doing so; and if you could witness the descent of the light which comes to us every year on the night of our Great Feast [of Easter]<sup>65</sup>  $\Box$ you would see something amazing and unique!

### [Muslim teaching about Paradise]

You [Muslims] say that in Paradise there is eating and drinking and copulation, and that all these things are mentioned in the Book your lawgiver brought. All of this, which we consider to be absolutely impossible, we deny. [We believe,] however, that on the day of resurrection, we shall all be gathered together, each with his body and soul, but we shall not eat nor drink.

#### [Islams triumph by the sword]

The religion of the cross has spread throughout the earth without the sword and without coercion. Your religion triumphed on the earth by the sword and coercion, and your lawgiver fought the nations and subdued them. He is to blame for the change in our situation and for our being accused of unbelief. In his Book [it is written]: {They are unbelievers who say, I God is the Messiah, Mary Son (Q 5:72). The Arabs entered our towns, uprooted our homes and exposed us to dishonour<sup>66</sup>. When [your lawgiver] believed in God and called people to God, God supported him. Then he fought all the nations and subdued them by his sword. But the Messiah, Mary Son, came only as a servant and in weakness, and He did not fight anyone. He was crucified to make satisfaction for us. He is our God, our Creator, our Provider, the One Who gives us life and takes it away. He (to Whom belong Might and Majesty!) forgives our sins by His grace and covers us with His mercy.

#### [Conclusion]

Because of what I have come to know of your noble character, I willingly offer you<sup>67</sup> the advice contained in this letter. Consider it and reflect on it, and God will make it a light to guide you. Amen.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> DYouDsingular, i.e., the Muslim young man from Cordoba.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Literally,  $\Box proofs \Box (bar \Box h \Box h)$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> A second reference to the annual descent of the Holy FireIn the Church of the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem. See note 50 above.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Literally, □tent open our veils or coverings□

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> DYouDsingular, i.e., the Muslim young man from Cordoba.

Upon receiving this letter, the young man rebuked those who had brought it to him. He refrained from answering the priest because, being then a mudejar<sup>68</sup> in their midst and under the protection of their religion, he feared him. They<sup>69</sup> persevered in pressing him for a reply [to the priest]. Meanwhile, the time came for the young man to take his leave of them. [But before doing so], he wrote this answer, entitled *Kit maq mi h al*-*al b wa*-*raw i a al*-*an al*-*an b*<sup>70</sup>, which he left with them and went on his way.

كتاب مقامع الصلبان لأحمد بن عبد الصمد الخزرجيّ بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم وصلّى الله على سيّدنا محمّد وعلى آله وسلّم تسليمًا. لمّا نفذ القضاء من الله تعالى على قرطبة باندثار ملكها وتفرّق أهلها لتتابع ضنكها، ألحِق منهم بطليطلة صبي من آل عبد الحقّ الخزرجيّ يوصف بالذكاء. وكان يها قسّ نسبه من القوط يُكثر من الاعتراض في الدين على نفر كانوا يألفون له من المسلمين، فجعلوا يرفعون سؤالاتهم إلى الصبيّ فيجاوبهم الصبيّ عليها. فيرجعون بذلك إلى القسّ فينكر جوابهم لعلمه أنّهم ليسوا من

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> muda a the conjunction of the maximum set of the maximum of the maximum set of the m

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> That is, the group of Muslims who frequented the Toledo priest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> The extant manuscripts and the Arabic bibliographical tradition refer to al- $\Box$ azra work under different titles. See a list in al- $\Box$ arf $\Box$ Introduction to  $Maq\Box m \Box al- \Box alb \Box a$ , 11-13. See also De la Granja Santamaría,  $\Box$ Milagros españoles en una obra polémica musulmana 324-325.

[18]

أهل الذكاء. واستفهمهم مرّة فأعلموه بالصبيّ. فكتب القوطيّ عن نفسه كتابًا وسألهم أن يوصلوه إليه، ويأتوا منه بجواب. وهٰذا نصّ كتابه:

من فلان إلى فلان: باسم الآب والابن والروح القدس إلاه واحد. سلام عليك أيّها الفتى الإسماعيليّ المسلم المحمّديّ ورحمة الله وبركاته.

أمّا بعد حمد الله الذي هدانا لدينه وأيّدنا بيمينه، وخصّنا بابنه ومحبوبه ومنّ علينا رحمة بصليبه، يسوع المسيح إلاهنا، الذي خلق ﴿السهاوات والأرض وما فيهنّ ﴾، والذي فدانا بدمه المقدّس، ورفع عن أعناقنا الخطيئة الّتي كانت في أعناق بني آدم بسبب أكله من الشجرة الّتي نُهِيَ عنها، في فلصنا المسيح بدمه وفدانا، ومن عذاب جمتم وقانا. هرق دمه في مرضاة جميع ولد آدم إذكان الذنب باقيًا في أعناق جميعهم، فكلّهم تخلّص منه إلّا من كفر به وشكّ فيه. فإذا أردت أن يتغمّدك الله برحمته وتفوز بجنّته فآمن بالله، وقل المسيح ابن الله الذي هو إلاه، وروح القدس، ثلاثة أقانيم في أقنوم واحد، فستنجح وتُرشَد.

ألم تسمع ما في الكتاب الذي جاء به صاحب شريعتك أنّه روح الله وكلمته وأنّه كان ﴿وجيهًا في الدنيا والآخرة ومن المقرّبين﴾؟ وأين أوجه في الدنيا والآخرة من المسيح ابن الله؟ وفي الكتاب الذي جاء به صاحب شريعتك أنّه أحيا الموتى. وكفى بذلك دليلًا على أنه هو الله.

ثمّ إنّه أيّد بإحياء الموتى بعض الحواريّين فأحيوا الموتى كمثل ما فعل المسيح. وأرسلهم المسيح إلى جميع الأجناس، وأمرهم بإفشاء أمره بعد أن كان هو بذل لهم شرائعه بنفسه، ورآه الناس بأعينهم وهو يتواضع فوجب عليهم أن يفعلواكها رأوا خالقهم يفعل.

لأنّه عزّ وجلّ لمّا كلّم العالم على ألسنة أنبيائه الذين جعلهم رسله ووسائطه إلى خلقه، ليعلّموهم الإقرار بربوبيّته، وشرّعوا لهم ترك أوثانهم وأصنامهم الفاشية ضلالتها في جميع الأرض. فنزل هو سبحانه من بعد ذلك من السهاء ليكلّم الناس بذاته، لئلّا تكون لهم حجّة عليه، فتنقطع حجّتهم من أجل أن كلّمهم بذاته لا بواسطة بينهم وبينه. فارتفعت المعاذير عمّن ضيّع عهده بعدما كلّمهم بذاته إتمامًا لرحمته على الناس.

فهبط بذاته من السماء والتحم في بطن مريم العذراء البتول أمّ النور، فأخذ منها حجابًا كما سبق في حكمته الأزليّة، لأنه "في البدء كانت الكلمة والكلمة هو الله". وهو مخلوق من طريق الجسم وخالق من طريق النفس. وهو خلق جسمه وهو خلق أمّه، أمّه كانت من قبله بالناسوت وهو كان من قبلها باللاهوت، وهو الإلاه التامّ، وهو الإنسان التامّ.

ومن تمام رحمته على الناس أنّه رضي بهرق دمه عنهم في خشبة الصليب. فمكّن اليهود أعداءه من نفسه ليتمّ سخطه عليهم، فأخذوه وصلبوه، وغار دمه في إصبعه لأنّه لو وقع منه شيء في الأرض ليبست، إلّا شيء يسير وقع فيها فنبت في موضعه النُّوَّارُ. لأنّه لا يمكن في الحكمة الأزليّة أن ينتقم الله من عبده العاصي آدم الذي ظلمه واستهان بقدره، فلم يرد الله الانتقام منه لاعتلاء منزلة السيّد وسقوط منزلة العبد. أراد أن ينتصف من الإنسان الذي هو إلاه مثله، فانتصف من خطيئة آدم بصلب عيسى المسيح الذي هو إلاه متساوٍ معه. فصُلِبَ ابن الله عزّ وجلّ، الذي هو الله، في الساعة التاسعة من يوم الجمعة، صلبته اليهود الملاعين. واليهود تقرّ أنّها صلبته، وأنتم تنكرون ذٰلك كفرًا منكم لأنّ إنكار الصلوبيّة عندنا كفر، فكلّ من ينكرها فهو كافر.

ولكنّكم تعظّمون المسيح، فمن أجل ذلك أرتجي لكم أن يهديكم الله إلى الحقّ. وما عقائدكم كلّها إلّا حسنة، وكان عندكم عدل كثير في أصل دينكم، وخير شامل، فلو آمنتم بالمسيح وقلتم إنّه هو الله خالق السهاوات والأرض لكمل إيمانكم. ولا شكّ أنّك تقرأ التوراة والزبور والنبوّات، فاعتبر ففيها شواهد على ذٰلك كلّه.

وصلاتنا أحسن صلاة تقرأ، وهي لهذه : أبانا الذي أنت في السهاء، تقدّس اسمك، وليأت ملكك، ولتكن إرادتك في السهاء مثلها في الأرض. أعطنا خبزنا المُلائِم، واغفر لنا ذنوبناكها نغفر نحن لمن أذنب إلينا، ولا توبقنا في المحنة وسلّمنا من الشرّير. فهٰذه صلاتنا.

وينزل الله علينا من السباء النور في كلّ سنة في بيت المقدس.

وقد جعل الله في أيدي المطارين ما لم يجعله في يد أحد، وذٰلك لأنّ جميع ما يفعلونه في الأرض يفعله الله في السباء، فإذا أذنبنا فهم الذين يقبلون التوبات، ويعفون عن السيّئات، وبأيديهم صلاح الأحياء والأموات.

وأمّا دينكم فقد ألّف كثير من أساقفتنا كتبًا في الطعن عليه، وذكروا صاحب شريعتكم، ووصفوا أشياء. فرأينا أنّكم لستم على الحقّ، وإنّما الحقّ معنا، ولا فائدة في شريعتكم لأنّنا نجد الأحكام الشرعيّة حكمين: الأول التوراتيّ الذي هو "من لطمك فالطمه"، والآخر الإنجيليّ الذي هو "من لطم خدّك الأيمن فانصب له الأيسر". وأنت ترى فضل هٰذا على الأوّل، ثمّ لا تجد لهٰذين الحكمين ثالثًا إلّاكان داخلًا فيها.

وأيّ دليل يُطلَب على أنّكم لستم على الحقّ أكبر من أن يكون مكتوبًا في كتابكم: ﴿انكحوا ما طاب لكم من النساء مثنى وثلاث ورباع ﴾، والله قد قال في الإنجيل: "لا يتزوّج الرجل إلّا امرأة واحدة كما كان آدم وزوجته". وكُتِبَ في كتابكم أنّ الرجل إذا طلّق امرأته ثلاثًا ﴿لا تحل له .. حتىٰ تنكح زوجًا غيره ﴾ والله قد قال في التوراة: "من طلّق امرأته ثمّ أحبّ مراجعتها فهي تحلّ له ما لم يمسّها رجل آخر".

وكُتِبَ في كتابكم: ﴿إِنَّ الله اشترى من المؤمنين أنفسهم وأموالهم بأنّ لهم الجنّة يقاتلون في سبيل الله فيقتلون ويقتلون وعدًا عليه حقًّا في التوراة والإنجيل والقرآن ﴾، وقد قال الله في التوراة أن لا يكون قتال بين بني آدم فالقاتل والمقتول في النار. وليس العجب من هذا فإنّ الذي ذكرت لك عن كتابك من الأحكام يمكنك أن تحتجّ فيه بالنسخ الذي هو مقدّمة من مقدّمات أصل شريعتك. وإنّها العجب من قوله مخبرًا ﴿وعدًا عليه حقًّا في التوراة والإنجيل ﴾، وما في التوراة والإنجيل إلا ضدّ ذلك!

والعجب أيضًا من قوله عن مريم أمّ المسيح: ﴿ومريم ابنت عمران الّتي أحصنت فرجما﴾. وقال عنها في موضع آخر: ﴿يا أخت هارون ماكان أبوك امرأ سوء وماكانت أمّك بغيًّا﴾. وليست أمّ المسيح بأخت هارون ولا بابنة عمران، وإنّا اسم أيها يُوقِيم، فتوهمتم أنها ابنة عمران الّتي كانت أخت موسى وهارون. وقال أيضًا في كتابكم عن إبليس إنّ الله أسقطه إلى الأرض لمّا أبى أن يسجد لآدم. وقد قال في التوراة إنّه أسقط إبليس من السهاء قبل أن يخلق آدم لأنه أراد أن يجعل نفسه ندًّا لله واعتزّ على الملائكة وقال لهم: أنا من نار ولا خالق لي، فاجعلوا لي كرسيًا أكون عليه شبه العليّ. فلم يُتِمَّ قوله حتّى أسقطه الله من السهاء إلى خزي دار الدنيا، هو وجميع أصحابه الذين داخلتهم الفكرة الدنيّة.

وأنتم تقولون إنّ في التوراة والإنجيل والزبور والنبوّات فسادًا كثيرًا، وإنّنا قد زدنا فيها ونقّصنا، ولهذا من كفركم. وليس عندكم على ذٰلك دليل، ولا هو أيضًا مكتوب في الكتاب الذي جاء به صاحب شريعتكم، وإنّها كلام قلتموه أنتم.

فلو أنّك تطالع جميع كتبنا، وماكان لنا من الفضائل، وحتّى إلى الآن، فإنّ منّا أقوامًا صالحين يعملون الآيات والبراهين ولكنّهم لا يظهرونها إلّا في وقت الحاجة إليها. ولو شاهدت نزول النور الذي يأتينا في كلّ سنة ليلة عيدنا الكبير، لرأيت أمرًا عجيبًا وشيئًا غريبًا.

وأنتم تقولون إنّ في الجنّة أكلًا وشربًا ونكاحًا، وجميع ذٰلك مذكور في الكتاب الذي جاء به صاحب شريعتكم. ونحن ننكر جميع ذٰلك، ولا يمكن بوجه من الوجوه عندنا ذٰلك. إلّا أنّنا إذا حُشِرنا يوم القيامة حُشِرنا بأجسادنا ونفوسنا، ولكن لا نأكل ولا نشرب.

ودين الصليب فشا في الأرض دون سيف ولا قهر، ودينكم ظهر بالسيف والقهر في الأرض، وقاتل صاحب شريعتكم الأمم وغلبهم، وكان سببًا في تغيير أمرنا وتكفيرنا. وفي كتابه: ﴿لقد كفر الذين قالوا إنّ الله هو المسيح ابن مريم ﴾ ودخلت العرب بلادنا، واستأصلت ديارنا، وهتكت أستارنا. لكنّه لمّا آمن بالله ودعا إلى الله، أعانه الله، فقاتل جميع الأمم فغلبهم بسيفه. وإنّها جاء المسيح ابن مريم محينًا ضعيفًا، ولم يقاتل أحدًا، وصُلِبَ في مرضاتنا، وهو إلاهنا وخالقنا ورازقنا ومميتنا ومحيينا، وهو عزّ وجلّ بفضله يغفر ذنوبنا ويتغمّدنا برحمته.

وأنا قد بذلت لك النصيحة في هٰذه الرسالة، لما ظهر لي من نبلك، فاعتبرها وتدبّرها، والله يجعلها نورك وسبب هداك آمين.

فلمّا وقف الصبّي على لهذه الرسالة زجر موصليها وامتنع من مراجعة القسّ تخوّفًا منه، لكونه يومئذ مُدَجَّنًا بين ظهرانيهم وفي كنف ديانتهم. فألحّوا عليه في الجواب، وفي خلال ذلك حان سفره عنهم، وكتب لهذا الجواب المسمّى بمقامع هامات الصلبان ورواتع روضات الإيمان، وغادره عندهم ومضى.

#### RÉSUMÉ

Le présent article est une introduction à la *Lettre*  $d\Box l - Q \Box \Box D$  brève polémique anti-islamique en arabe prétendument écrite par un prêtre anonyme à Tolède au  $12^{\text{ème}}$  siècle dans les années 40 ; il fournit aussi la traduction intégrale de la *Lettre* en anglais avec une édition amendée du texte arabe, publié pour la première fois par Abdelmajid Charfi en 1975.